The Board of Education of Montgomery County met in regular session at the Carver Educational Services Center, Rockville, Maryland, on Monday, August 22, 1988, at 8:15 p.m.

ROLL CALL     Present:  Mrs. Sharon DiFonzo, President in the Chair
                         Dr. James E. Cronin
                         Mr. Blair G. Ewing
                         Mr. Chan Park
                         Mrs. Marilyn J. Praisner
                         Mrs. Vicki Rafel
                         Dr. Robert E. Shoenberg

Absent:  Mr. Bruce A. Goldensohn

Others Present:  Dr. Paul L. Vance, Deputy Superintendent
                         Acting in the Absence of the Superintendent
                         Mr. Thomas S. Fess, Parliamentarian

Re:  ANNOUNCEMENT

Mrs. DiFonzo announced that Dr. Pitt and Mr. Goldensohn would be returning from vacation either late tonight or tomorrow.

RESOLUTION NO. 414-88 Re:  BOARD AGENDA – AUGUST 22, 1988

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Cronin, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education agenda for August 22, 1988, be approved.

Re:  AWARDS FOR MCPS BULLETIN AND PUBLICATION

Dr. Vance announced that MCPS was honored this year to have two of its publications selected for national recognition. The MCPS BULLETIN won an Award of Excellence, the highest award given by the National School Public Relations Association. "About the Schools" earned an honorable mention as a special purpose publication. Mr. Steven Seleznow, acting director of information, commended Ms. Janet Lottero, editor of the BULLETIN and "About the Schools." He also praised the cooperative efforts of the entire Information Office staff. Ms. Lottero seconded Mr. Seleznow's praise about the staff and thanked them for their efforts to get the BULLETIN out every week.

RESOLUTION NO. 415-88 Re:  FY 1989 CATEGORICAL TRANSFER WITHIN THE PROVISION FOR FUTURE SUPPORTED PROJECTS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin
seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to effect the following categorical transfer within the FY 1989 Provision for Future Supported Projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03 Instructional Other</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Pupil Transportation</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fixed Charges</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Instructional Salaries</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Special Education</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $22,700 $22,700

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 416-88 Re: UTILIZATION OF FY 1989 FUTURE SUPPORTED PROJECT FUNDS FOR PROJECT ADAPT (COMPUTERIZED ADAPTIVE TESTING)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend within the FY 1989 Provision for Future Supported Projects a grant award of $20,000 from the Program Assessment Branch of the Maryland State Department of Education for Project ADAPT in the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Administration</td>
<td>$19,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $20,000

and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.
RESOLUTION NO. 417-88  Re: UTILIZATION OF FY 1989 FUTURE SUPPORTED PROJECT FUNDS FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE FOR THE UNDERSERVED AND UNDERREPRESENTED

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend within the FY 1989 Provision for Future Supported Projects a grant award of $4,000 from the Maryland State Department of Education under the Education for Economic Security Act, in the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Administration</td>
<td>$3,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $4,000

and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 418-88  Re: UTILIZATION OF FY 1989 FUTURE SUPPORTED PROJECT FUNDS FOR THE SPECIAL EDUCATION TRINITY COLLEGE STUDY CENTER

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend within the FY 1989 Provision for Future Supported Projects a grant award of $10,980 from Trinity College to operate a special education professional materials and study center in the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04 Special Education</td>
<td>$10,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $10,980

and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 419-88  Re: UTILIZATION OF FY 1989 FUTURE SUPPORTED PROJECT FUNDS FOR THE UNDERSERVED AND UNDERREPRESENTED
On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend within the FY 1989 Provision for Future Supported Projects a grant award of $46,256 from the Montgomery College (Service Delivery Agency) under the Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) in the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>POSITIONS</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02 Instructional Salaries</td>
<td>1.0*</td>
<td>$29,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Instructional Other</td>
<td>4,024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Student Transportation</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>10,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* .5 Teacher (A-D) 10 month
  .5 Instructional Assistant (Grade 10), 10 month

and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 420-88  Re: UTILIZATION OF FY 1989 FUTURE SUPPORTED PROJECT FUNDS FOR AIDS PREVENTION EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend within the FY 1989 Provision for Future Supported Projects a federal grant award of $15,000 through the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Instruction, from the United States Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control, in Category 3, Instructional Other; and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 421-88  Re: FY 1989 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FOR MARYLAND’S TOMORROW PROGRAM

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin
seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to receive and expend an FY 1989 supplemental appropriation of $207,106 from Montgomery College (Administrative Entity for Montgomery County Private Industry Council), of which $168,180 is state, and $38,926 is federal JTPA funds for the Maryland's Tomorrow program in the following categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>POSITIONS*</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02 Instructional Salaries</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$102,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Other Instructional Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>35,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Special Education</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>5,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Student Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Fixed Charges</td>
<td></td>
<td>35,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$207,106</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2.2 Teachers (A-D)
  1.8 Instructional Assistants

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and a copy be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 422-88 Re: FY 1988 OPERATING BUDGET APPROPRIATION RECOMMENDED CATEGORICAL TRANSFER

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Category 2 Instructional Salaries reflected a deficit as of June 30, 1988, due to lower than anticipated savings from turnover and a higher than budgeted new hire rate; and

WHEREAS, Category 4 Special Education reflected a deficit as of June 30, 1988, due to increased costs and placement of students in schools outside MCPS; and

WHEREAS, Category 7 Student Transportation reflected a deficit as of June 30, 1988, due to higher costs for handicapped children, contracted repairs of buses, and higher than anticipated use of substitute bus drivers; and

WHEREAS, Category 14 Community Services reflected a deficit as of June 30, 1988, due to higher than budgeted position salaries; and

WHEREAS, The required funds are available for transfer from Category
RESOLVED, That the superintendent be authorized, subject to the approval of the County Council, to effect the following transfer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>FROM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$ 227,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Instructional Salaries</td>
<td>$1,675,000</td>
<td>735,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Instructional Other</td>
<td>854,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>854,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Student Personnel Services</td>
<td>854,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Student Transportation</td>
<td>1,270,000</td>
<td>2,126,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Operation of Plant/Equipment</td>
<td>1,270,000</td>
<td>2,126,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Maintenance of Plant</td>
<td>231,000</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fixed Charges</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Food Services</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td>425,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Community Services</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$3,811,000</td>
<td>$3,811,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive and the County Council be given a copy of this resolution and that the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this action to the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 423-88  Re: PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS OVER $25,000

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Cronin, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted to purchase equipment, supplies, and contractual services; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That having been duly advertised, the contracts be awarded to the low responsive bidders meeting specifications as shown for the bids as follows:

AWARDEES

88-13 Physical Examinations for School Bus Drivers
(The 1,100 bus drivers have the option of selecting anyone of the awardees and thus an exact amount for each is unknown. The average examination is $40.00.)
Dr. Hugo Arias
Dr. Wilfred Ehrmantraut
Dr. George Kenton
Medical Access
Dr. John S. Saia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secure Medical Care of Gaithersburg</td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147-88 Plumbing Supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATS</td>
<td></td>
<td>$208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capp, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creed Company, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy Maintenance Supply</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert G. Fraley Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>413*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Controls Dist., Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. E. Michel Company, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Energy Control Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noland Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>41,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trayco of SC, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheaton Paint &amp; Hardware</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$91,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160-88 Health Room Supplies and Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amzura Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole Medical, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster/Murry-Baumgartner</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma Medical Systems, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William V. MacGill and Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medex Products Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro Bio-Medics, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>72,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monumental Paper Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Health Supply Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific and Medical Care Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>633*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Chemical Specialities</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,455*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$135,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161-88 Piano Tuning and Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loki Music</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey Music Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James E. Tallant, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winzer Piano Service</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167-88 Paperback/Prebound Paperback Books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bookworm</td>
<td></td>
<td>$80,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfection Form Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170-88 Plumbing Materials for Boilers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison Brothers, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,288*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noland Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp-Troll Company, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$51,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION NO. 424-88  Re:  AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR ASBESTOS REMOVAL
AT BURNT MILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin
seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted
unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on July 29, 1988, for asbestos
removal at Burnt Mills Elementary School as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marcor of D.C., Inc.</td>
<td>$ 98,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.B.N. Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>148,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

WHEREAS, The low bid is within estimates and funds are available; now
therefore be it
RESOLVED, That a $98,149 contract be awarded to the low bidder, Marcor of D.C., Inc., for removal of asbestos at Burnt Mills Elementary School in accordance with specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

RESOLUTION NO. 425-88  Re: CONTINUATION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES CABLE TV/TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Engineering services for the design and administration of construction contracts are necessary for the installation of cable TV/telecommunication networks in all schools; and

WHEREAS, Von Otto & Bilecky, Professional Corporation, was the successful bidder through the Architect/Engineer Selection Procedures approved by the Board of Education; and

WHEREAS, This firm has provided satisfactory engineering services during the past year for these purposes; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education extend the contractual agreement with the firm of Von Otto & Bilecky, Professional Corporation, in the amount of $80,000 to provide required design and monitoring services on construction contracts for cable TV/telecommunication networks in all Montgomery County Public Schools.

RESOLUTION NO. 426-88  Re: BID REJECTION - BID 1000-89 GYMNASIUM FLOORS, REFINISHING

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Only one sealed bid was received from a qualified vendor, Weyer's Floor Service, Inc., for $26,531.25 to refinish gymnasium floors in five schools; and

WHEREAS, This bid was above staff estimates and is not in accordance with bid specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the bid from Weyer's Floor Service, Inc., be rejected and the project rebid.

RESOLUTION NO. 427-88  Re: GRANT OF RIGHT-OF-WAY TO THE WASHINGTON SUBURBAN SANITARY COMMISSION AT CANDLEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin
seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC) has requested a grant of right-of-way and temporary construction strip across a portion of the Candlewood Elementary School site, located at 7210 Osprey Drive, Rockville, Maryland, for the installation of a water line and appurtenances; and

WHEREAS, All construction, restoration, and future maintenance will be performed at no cost to the Board of Education, with the WSSC and contractors assuming liability for all damages or injury; and

WHEREAS, This grant of right-of-way of 5,245 square feet and adjacent temporary construction strip for installation of a water line and appurtenances will not affect any land now planned for school programming and recreational activities and will benefit the surrounding community; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the president and secretary be authorized to execute a right-of-way for the additional land required to install a water line at Candlewood Elementary School; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a negotiated fee be paid by the WSSC for the subject right-of-way and easement, said funds to be deposited to the Rental of Property Account No. 32-108-1-13.

RESOLUTION NO. 428-88  Re: GRANT OF RIGHT-OF-WAY TO THE MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION AT WOODWARD'S ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SITE

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Maryland State Highway Administration (MSHA) is planning to improve the Mid-county Highway (MD 124 relocated) from Montgomery Village Avenue to Shady Grove Road; and

WHEREAS, Final design and construction of the road improvement require a conveyance of 15,333 square feet of land from the Woodward's Road Elementary School site, located on the north side of the Mid-county Highway, west of Goshen Road; and

WHEREAS, The Board has previously acted to surplus this school, this action is taken prior to actual conveyance of the property to the Montgomery County Government for and on behalf of that body; and

WHEREAS, All construction, restoration, and future maintenance will be performed at no cost to the Board of Education, with the MSHA and contractors assuming liability for all damages or injury; and

WHEREAS, This land conveyance for a right-of-way to improve the existing roadway will benefit the surrounding community and the
RESOLVED, That the president and secretary be authorized to execute an option contract and final deed for the conveyance of the 15,333 square feet of land required to improve MD 124 at the Woodward's Road Elementary School site; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a negotiated fee of $22,999.50 be paid by the MSHA for the right-of-way, and said funds be deposited to the Rental of Property Account No. 32-108-1-13.

RESOLUTION NO. 429-88  Re:  ARCHITECTURAL APPOINTMENT - VIERS MILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, It is necessary to appoint an architect to provide required design and construction administration services associated with the modernization of Viers Mill Elementary School; and

WHEREAS, Architectural planning funds were approved in the FY 1989 Capital Budget for a capital project at Viers Mill Elementary School; and

WHEREAS, The architectural/engineering selection procedures approved by the Board of Education on May 13, 1986, were followed in the selection of Celentano-Esposito, Incorporated, for this project; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Montgomery County Board of Education enter into a contractual agreement with Celentano-Esposito, Incorporated, to provide required design and construction administration services associated with the modernization of Viers Mill Elementary School for a fee of $216,900.

RESOLUTION NO. 430-88  Re:  CHANGE ORDERS OVER $25,000

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Change orders exceeding $25,000 for various projects have been received by the Department of School Facilities; and

WHEREAS, Staff and the project architect have reviewed these change orders and found the costs to be equitable; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board approve the following change orders for the amounts and contracts indicated:

ACTIVITY 1
Project: Quince Orchard High School
C.O. #16
Description: Modify two lecture rooms into a suite that can be used as an Intensive English Language Center (IELC) for Area 3.
Contractor: Glen Construction Co. of Virginia, Inc.
Amount: $30,446.00

ACTIVITY 2

Project: Richard Montgomery High School
Continuation of Contract
Description: Asphalt Resurfacing
Vendor: Francis O. Day Co., Inc.
Amount: $31,390.00

RESOLUTION NO. 431-88 Re: REDUCTION OF RETAINAGE - CLEARSpring Elementary School

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Kimmel & Kimmel, Incorporated, general contractor for Clearspring Elementary School, has completed 96 percent of all specified requirements as of July 31, 1988, and has requested that the 10 percent retainage, which is based on the completed work to date, be reduced to 5 percent; and

WHEREAS, The project bonding company, The Aetna Casualty and Surety Company, in a letter dated July 29, 1988, consented to this reduction; and

WHEREAS, The project architect, Smolen/Rushing & Associates, Incorporated, in a letter dated August 2, 1988, recommended that this request for reduction be approved; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the contract's specified retainage withheld from periodic payments to Kimmel & Kimmel, Incorporated, general contractor for Clearspring Elementary School, currently amounting to 10 percent of the company's request for payment to date, now be reduced to 5 percent, with the remaining 5 percent to become payable after completion of all remaining requirements and formal acceptance of the completed project.

RESOLUTION NO. 432-88 Re: REDUCTION OF RETAINAGE - GAITHERSBURG Junior High School

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Kimmel & Kimmel, Incorporated, general contractor for Gaithersburg Junior High School, has completed 96 percent of all
specified requirements as of July 31, 1988, and has requested that the 10 percent retainage, which is based on the completed work to date, be reduced to 5 percent; and

WHEREAS, The project bonding company, The Aetna Casualty & Surety Company, in a letter dated July 29, 1988, consented to this reduction; and

WHEREAS, The project architect, Eugene A. Delmar, AIA, in a letter dated August 1, 1988, recommended that this request for reduction be approved; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the contract's specified retainage withheld from periodic payments to Kimmel & Kimmel, Incorporated, general contractor for Gaithersburg Junior High School, currently amounting to 10 percent of the company's request for payment to date, now be reduced to 5 percent, with the remaining 5 percent to become payable after completion of all remaining requirements and formal acceptance of the completed project.

RESOLUTION NO. 433-88  Re: LAYTONSVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ASBESTOS REMOVAL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The following sealed bids were received on August 8, 1988, for the removal of asbestos at Laytonsville Elementary School:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>BASE BID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Asbestos Environmental Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$373,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Marcor of D.C., Inc.</td>
<td>394,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. LVI Environmental Services, Inc.</td>
<td>479,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Southern Insulation, Inc.</td>
<td>569,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. BARCO Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>649,677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

WHEREAS, This rebid represents a considerable savings over the initial bid of June 2, 1988, due to the timing of the bid and the number of bidders; and

WHEREAS, Asbestos Environmental Services, Inc., has performed similar work for MCPS in a satisfactory manner; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a contract in the amount of $375,500 be awarded to Asbestos Environmental Services, Inc., for the removal of asbestos at Laytonsville Elementary School in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

RESOLUTION NO. 434-88  Re: MONOCACY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - ASBESTOS REMOVAL
On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The following sealed bids were received on August 9, 1988, for the removal of asbestos at Monocacy Elementary School:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>BASE BID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Asbestos Control Corp.</td>
<td>$13,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Marcor of D.C., Inc.</td>
<td>17,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. LVI Environmental Services, Inc.</td>
<td>27,026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

WHEREAS, This rebid represents a considerable saving over the initial bid of June 6, 1988, due to the timing of the bid; and

WHEREAS, Asbestos Control Corp. is qualified to bid MCPS projects; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a $13,877 contract be awarded to Asbestos Control Corp. for the removal of asbestos at Monocacy Elementary School in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

RESOLUTION NO. 435-88 Re: MONTGOMERY KNOLLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - ASBESTOS REMOVAL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The following sealed bids were received on August 10, 1988, for the removal of asbestos at Montgomery Knolls Elementary School:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>BASE BID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. LVI Environmental Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$116,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. BARCO Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>119,268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

WHEREAS, The rebid represents a considerable saving over the initial bid of June 1, 1988, due to the timing of the bid; and

WHEREAS, LVI Environmental Services, Inc., has performed similar work for MCPS in a satisfactory manner; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a contract in the amount of $116,813 be awarded to LVI Environmental Services, Inc., for the removal of asbestos at Montgomery Knolls Elementary School in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.
RESOLUTION NO. 436-88  Re:  CARL SANDBURG CENTER AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The following sealed bids were received for the air conditioning systems at Carl Sandburg Center on August 11, 1988:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>BASE BID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I.M.I., Inc.</td>
<td>$ 91,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. W. B. Maske Sheet Metal Works, Inc.</td>
<td>140,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Arey, Inc.</td>
<td>149,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Paul J. Vignola Co., Inc.</td>
<td>254,254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

WHEREAS, The low bidder, I.M.I., Inc., by letter dated August 15, 1988, has withdrawn its bid and the best lowest bidder, W. B. Maske Sheet Metal Works, Inc., has performed similar work satisfactorily for MCPS in the past; and

WHEREAS, There are sufficient funds to award a $140,950 contract; now therefore be

RESOLVED, That a $140,950 contract be awarded to W. B. Maske Sheet Metal Works, Inc., for the air conditioning systems at Carl Sandburg Center in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

RESOLUTION NO. 437-88  Re:  COLD SPRING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL REROOFING

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The following sealed bids were received on August 4, 1988, for the reroofing of Cold Spring Elementary School:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIDDER</th>
<th>BASE BID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. F. J. R. Builders, Inc.</td>
<td>$293,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Orndorff &amp; Spaid, Inc.</td>
<td>296,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. W. B. Maske Sheet Metal Works, Inc.</td>
<td>299,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. J. E. Wood &amp; Sons Co., Inc.</td>
<td>327,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. J. S. Wagner Company, Inc.</td>
<td>429,653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

WHEREAS, The low bid is within staff estimates and sufficient funds are available for the award of a $293,231 contract; now therefore be
RESOLVED, That a $293,231 contract be awarded to F. J. R. Builders, Inc., for the reroofing at Cold Spring Elementary School in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

RESOLUTION NO. 438-88  Re:  SITE SELECTION FOR FUTURE HOPKINS ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (1991)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The approved FY 1989 Master Plan and the FY 1989-94 Capital Improvements Program indicate the need for another elementary school to serve the Germantown area by September, 1991; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education, after considering several alternate locations for the future school, prefers a 10-acre site located on future Hopkins Road in the Germantown Estates subdivision, north of Clopper Road (MD 117) and east of Darnestown-Germantown Road (MD 118); and

WHEREAS, This school site is currently owned by the Board of Education, having been dedicated to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission by the developer of the adjacent land and subsequently conveyed to the Board of Education in March, 1988; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education select the Germantown Estates Elementary School site for the future Hopkins Road Elementary School (Germantown Area Elementary School 1991).

Re:  INSPECTION DATE FOR BANNOCKBURN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

The inspection date for Bannockburn Elementary School was set for Thursday, August 25, 1988, at 2 p.m. Mrs. DiFonzo and Mrs. Rafel will attend.

RESOLUTION NO. 439-88  Re:  PERSONNEL MONTHLY REPORT

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following appointments, resignations, and leaves of absence for professional and supporting services personnel be approved: (TO BE APPENDED TO THESE MINUTES).

RESOLUTION NO. 440-88  Re:  DEATH OF MR. UHLMAN ALEXANDER, RESOURCE TEACHER AT ROBERT FROST INTERMEDIATE
SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The death on July 25, 1988, of Mr. Uhlman Alexander, a resource teacher at Robert Frost Intermediate School, has deeply saddened the staff and members of the Board of Education; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Alexander was an experienced teacher who displayed excellent knowledge of both subject matter and methods of teaching; and

WHEREAS, In the twenty-one years Mr. Alexander was with Montgomery County Public Schools, his organization and creativity in instructional areas as well as his sensitivity to the various learning needs of his students served to provide an outstanding science program; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the members of the Board of Education express their sorrow at the death of Mr. Uhlman Alexander and extend deepest sympathy to his family; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution be made part of the minutes of this meeting and a copy be forwarded to Mr. Alexander's family.

RESOLUTION NO. 441-88 Re: DEATH OF DR. ELISABETH G. FLACH, TEACHER SPECIALIST IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ACADEMIC SKILLS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The death on July 23, 1988, of Dr. Elisabeth G. Flach, a teacher specialist in the Department of Academic Skills, has deeply saddened the staff and members of the Board of Education; and

WHEREAS, In the twelve years Dr. Flach had been a member of the Montgomery County Public Schools staff, she was recognized for her vital leadership qualities; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Flach was a dedicated, highly competent professional, and she contributed high quality work in her efforts to monitor growth in several academic areas; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the members of the Board of Education express their sorrow at the death of Dr. Elisabeth G. Flach and extend deepest sympathy to her family; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution be made part of the minutes of this meeting and a copy be forwarded to Dr. Flach's family.
RESOLUTION NO. 442-88  Re: DEATH OF MR. MARSHALL LEWIS, JR.,
BUS OPERATOR IN AREA 3 TRANSPORTATION

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin
seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted
unanimously:

WHEREAS, The death on August 3, 1988, of Mr. Marshall Lewis, Jr., a
bus operator in Area 3, has deeply saddened the staff and members of
the Board of Education; and

WHEREAS, In the twenty-six years Mr. Lewis was with Montgomery County
Public Schools, he demonstrated exceptional ability as a bus
operator; and

WHEREAS, His cheerful and cooperative attitude and his concern for
his passengers were a credit to the entire pupil transportation
program; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the members of the Board of Education express their
sorrow at the death of Mr. Marshall Lewis, Jr. and extend deepest
sympathy to his family; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution be made part of the minutes of this
meeting and a copy be forwarded to Mr. Lewis' family.

RESOLUTION NO. 443-88  Re: DEATH OF MR. JAMES D. PRATHER, BUILDING
SERVICE MANAGER V ON PERSONAL ILLNESS
LEAVE FROM WALT WHITMAN HIGH SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin
seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted
unanimously:

WHEREAS, The death on August 11, 1988, of Mr. James D. Prather, a
building service manager on leave from Walt Whitman High School, has
deply saddened the staff and members of the Board of Education; and

WHEREAS, In the more than thirty years Mr. Prather had been a member
of the staff of Montgomery County Public Schools, his leadership
abilities, supervisory qualities, and valuable contributions to
school, students, and community were widely recognized; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Prather was an outstanding staff member, giving of
himself in time, energy, and services to provide leadership and was
known as a gentle man, honest and straightforward; now therefore be
it

RESOLVED, That the members of the Board of Education express their
sorrow at the death of Mr. James D. Prather and extend deepest
sympathy to his family; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution be made part of the minutes of this
meeting and a copy be forwarded to Mr. Prather's family.
RESOLUTION NO. 444-88  Re: PERSONNEL APPOINTMENTS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Cronin, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel appointments be approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPOINTMENT</th>
<th>PRESENT POSITION</th>
<th>AS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William M. Richardson</td>
<td>Senior Management Budget Analyst</td>
<td>Instruct. Computer Analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dept. of Management, Budget &amp; Planning</td>
<td>Dept. of Computer-Related Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Caple-Howard</td>
<td>School Psychologist Prince George's County</td>
<td>School Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools</td>
<td>Area Admin. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Marlboro, MD.</td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn Chapman</td>
<td></td>
<td>School Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Area Admin. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly Fairley-Harris</td>
<td>School Psychologist Fairfax County Public</td>
<td>School Psychologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Area Admin. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alexandria, Virginia</td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Becker</td>
<td>Administrative Intern Gaithersburg HS</td>
<td>Asst. Principal Gaithersburg HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Brubaker</td>
<td>Administrative Intern Parkland JHS</td>
<td>Asst. Principal Parkland JHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne T. Kranz</td>
<td>Administrative Intern Gaithersburg JHS</td>
<td>Asst. Principal Gaithersburg JHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Nori</td>
<td>Administrative Intern Magruder HS</td>
<td>Asst. Principal Magruder HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia E. Toxie</td>
<td>Administrative Intern Farquhar MS</td>
<td>Asst. Principal Farquhar MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Krask</td>
<td>PPW Intern Area 1 Admin. Office</td>
<td>PPW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Area Admin. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Effective: 8-23-88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Richard Hawes, director of the Division of Construction, reported on the conditions of new schools and the projected status for the opening of school on September 6. He said that the only real problem was the lower level practice field at Quince Orchard High School. Staff had decided to use the fields, vacate them on October 15, and turn them back to the contractor. He expected that they could accept these fields in the spring.

Dr. Shoenberg pointed out that the modular classrooms would not be ready at Stone Mill; however, the school would open under-capacity. Therefore, the absence of the modulars would not be a serious problem.

Mrs. Rafel expressed her appreciation for all the work that the construction staff had done.

Dr. Barbara Williams, acting chairperson of the Advisory Committee for Minority Student Education, introduced the following members of the committee: Mr. Earl Best, Dr. Leila Engman, Mrs. Phyllis Feldman, Mrs. Lillian Brown, Mrs. Nina Clark, Mr. Jim Fernandez, and Ms. Sunny Lee. She reported that their committee had not convened until late February, thereby making it necessary to submit an interim report. At the end of next year they would submit a formal report. Dr. Williams commended the Board and the superintendent for forming the committee and giving them a free rein to do the work they wanted to do. She thanked Dr. Paul Scott, their liaison person. She said that Dr. Scott had facilitated their process by scheduling speakers, getting the clerical work done, and by providing the necessary data. She also thanked Mrs. Marie Heck, who assisted Dr. Scott.

Dr. Williams explained that because this was an interim report they had focused on the management planning process and the accountability goals related to Priority 2. They had invited staff in to present information to the committee relative to their areas of responsibility. They had come up with six recommendations which were listed in the interim report.

Dr. Williams noted that the management planning process, although not new, was being used for the first time in a standardized way throughout the school system. The process included the monitoring component for Priority 2. The committee's first recommendation was that there be a review and evaluation of the planning process for its effectiveness and appropriate adjustments. The second was that MCPS assess the allocation of existing resources, including staffing and
funding, for the Office of Minority Student Education and make appropriate adjustments for greater support and credibility of Priority 2 goals.

Dr. Williams stated that the accountability program, although far-reaching, could be enhanced by clarifying and refining a number of issues related to the process. They recommended clarifying and documenting mechanisms which existed or would be developed to assist schools not making sufficient progress toward achieving their accountability goals. The second recommendation was to continue to expect schools which had met their goals to vigorously strive to an even greater level of achievement. The third recommendation was to monitor minigrant funds to assure that appropriate student participation and achievement needs were being met. The last recommendation was to increase the dissemination of information concerning Priority 2 efforts.

In regard to the recommendation on review and evaluation of the process, Dr. Shoenberg commented that he had a concern. MCPS had a way of reviewing everything elaborately before it had a chance to really work. He asked about how soon and how extensive an evaluation they had in mind. Mrs. Feldman replied that they did not have a time frame in mind, but they did not want to see too much time go by without evaluation. Dr. Shoenberg was sure that the people responsible for the implementation would have some sense of how it was working and how it was not. He asked if they were thinking of something more formal such as having DEA involved or was it a modification that came about as people worked with the process. Dr. Williams replied that they had both things in mind. Because this was a new process, they thought it important that it be evaluated and any necessary adjustments be made. Dr. Engman added that they needed to determine whether they had an effective process, but modifications were not necessarily part of their recommendation.

In regard to the recommendation on Dr. Scott's office, Dr. Shoenberg asked if they were talking about more staff to do what Dr. Scott had been assigned to do or the office's fulfilling a different role. Dr. Williams replied that they had looked at the responsibilities of that office. The committee was concerned that no one individual could do all of those things system-wide. It was very important that people throughout the county and in the system itself saw this as a very important function, fully supported by the Board and the superintendent. There were some notions that there wasn't this full support because only one person was doing this.

Dr. Shoenberg said that on the next recommendation, one might argue that clarifying the consequences of not doing well was something that might better be done after the fact when they saw what the nature of not doing well was. Dr. Williams explained that their main concern was they were not absolutely clear what would happen to schools that did not meet their goals. While they didn't suggest that anything punitive be done, they were not clear what would be done to help schools meet their goals. In some sense it might be better to wait and see what the extent of the situation was, but on another level it
might be better to do it in a more objective sense.

Dr. Shoenberg remarked that it was commendable that the committee had done as much as it had and to have gone about the task with such energy and verve. He thanked them for being such an energetic committee.

In regard to the second recommendation about resources and process, Mr. Ewing thought that assessing the allocation was a good idea. He noted that in a large bureaucracy if an office was created in the central management section and staffed generously, there was the danger that only the people in that office would be seen as the people responsible for that function. Therefore, no one else in the school system needed to think about this. They did not want that conclusion to be drawn. One way to avoid that conclusion was to leave Dr. Scott alone and make it clear that the responsibility for minority student education rested with every principal, every teacher, and every person working in the school system. Nevertheless, it might be that Dr. Scott needed some additional assistance just in order to get that message across.

Dr. Williams explained that with the monitoring component of Dr. Scott's job, given the size and complexity of the school system, they felt that having a sufficient staff working with Dr. Scott would help to make that monitoring more certain. One person could not do the monitoring because the system was too large and too complex. Mrs. Feldman called attention to a memorandum dated July 14, 1987, which outlined the role and function of the director of minority education. They thought that enlarging the minority education unit would give it more credibility with the public as well. She said that next year the committee was going to look at who was responsible for what including the roles of the associate superintendents, Instruction and Program Development, and QIE.

Mr. Ewing pointed out that the committee had stated that it was not clear what the superintendent intended to do if schools did not meet the goals. It had not been clear to him either. They had heard that there would be an attempt to provide direction to those not meeting goals as well as support. If this direction and support did not produce good results, other actions would have to be taken. However, this was not spelled out in writing. He felt that they could not have full accountability if they did not know what the consequences were for not delivering. He emphasized that here they had a Board priority and an issue of immense significance for students in the school system and for the entire community. He thought it obligatory that they be much more specific about what was going to happen if schools did not meet these goals and students did not meet these goals. He hoped that the Board and superintendent could move in this direction.

Dr. Cronin suggested that it was important to look at why the goals were not being met. If they were dealing with recalcitrance there would be a different solution than if they were dealing with the need for additional resources or personnel. He thought that at this stage
of accountability they were at a point where they could not be that specific. It was up to the superintendent to devise the ways he thought were practical to get the results.

In regard to the minigrant recommendation, Dr. Cronin noted that this was difficult to get at. It was difficult to determine if funding made a difference in student achievement or whether programs made a difference because they did not have control groups. They would have to look at this as they went through the accountability issue and ask Dr. Scott to look at this. Dr. Williams thought they needed to begin to look at the minigrants to see the payoff and the extent to which students were benefitting; however, she agreed that this would be hard to quantify. Dr. Cronin thought the critical thing to come out of the process was the belief that they were attempting to answer the question.

Mrs. Praisner added her compliments and commendations to the committee. She thought they had done a tremendous amount of work in a very short period of time. In regard to the last recommendation about increasing the dissemination of information concerning efforts, she assumed they meant the general public as well as the system. She wondered if they had any specific ideas and recommendations. She said that in regard to reviewing the process, she saw this both as an ongoing kind of thing as they worked through the process to keep in mind what was working well and what wasn't working well, but she recognized they were saying not to change things for the sake of change. She asked if they had specifics as to the kinds of criteria or points they should be evaluating. Dr. Williams replied that they had a thorough presentation on the process. They thought the system should be aware of the fact that the process was new and untried and might require adjustments. They were not saying adjustments were necessary. She commented that this was a comprehensive program, and until the committee had the presentation they had not been aware of all that it dealt with. They wanted to make sure that the system looked at it in its various phases and different levels to make sure it was doing what the system wanted it to do. They had not gone into specifics about it.

Mrs. Praisner remarked that part of the problem in the first year of the process would be trying to assess the process at the same time they were starting it. She had raised a concern about making sure that the resource allocations and staffing were in place before or during the approval of the plans so they would not be building in difficulties for a school because they didn't have the staffing or resources they needed. At the same time, there might be changes in the schools with mobility and growth which might affect the plan. It seemed to her they had to be very conscious of the relationships of different actions and the effects that those might have on the plans and on the process. She thought that the committee's report reflected the grounding they had had on the issues they were going to look at. She looked forward to next year's report because she knew it would be meaty as well.

In reference to the last recommendation, Dr. Williams noted that many
committee members were involved with the system or worked for the system. Yet there were many things they discovered that the public at large did not know. She thought the Board and the system got a bad rap at times because people were not aware of the very strong efforts being made to deal with crucial issues. They were amazed at the number of people working very hard on Priority 2 issues. They wanted the public, teachers, and students to know about the work being done. Dr. Engman suggested that the management plan should be available for the first PTA executive board meetings in August so that they could give the rest of the community knowledge about what was in the plan. Mr. Best noted that, for example, the QIE program had several valuable programs, but that information was not getting to the students and to the parents.

Mrs. DiFonzo reported that at the Maryland Association of Counties meeting last week, she had had one person tell her that Montgomery County was not a very good school system. When she questioned him as to his reasons for saying that, he had told her that Prince George's was a good system because everyone heard about it, and no one heard about Montgomery County. She agreed that they might not be doing a good job of letting people know what MCPS was doing and selling it. Mr. Ewing expressed his appreciation for the work of the committee. He hoped that as they worked in the future they would focus on the results of the effort the school system was making. While the process was important, the outcome was really more important. In his view the results the students got or didn't get were the most important thing of all. They did not have a record yet that showed that they had solved this problem, and all the data they had including the suspension report and the National Science Foundation report on mathematics showed they had a long way to go. He was not satisfied with the progress the school system had made in this area, and he hoped the committee was not either. He hoped the committee would ask hard questions such as why they had not yet found the right solution or why they continued to be unable to explain why some minority students were not doing as well as they were expected to. Because they did not have those answers, they could not be absolutely confident that what they were doing was exactly the right thing to be doing. He liked the emphasis in their report about accountability, evaluation, and assessment.

Dr. Williams commented that in their deliberations next year they wanted to talk to some students. When people did research related to students, they never talked to students. The committee wanted to talk to students to get a sense of their level of experience and the kinds of things they thought were important.

On behalf of the Board, Mrs. DiFonzo expressed appreciation for the tremendous number of hours the committee was putting in. The Board looked forward to the full-blown report of the committee. Dr. Scott noted the presence of Mr. Keith Prouty, another committee member.

Re: ANNUAL REPORT OF THE FAMILY LIFE COMMITTEE
Mr. Edward Masood, director of driver, health, and physical education and athletics, introduced Mr. Daniel Finn, the chair of the Family Life Committee. He reported that during midterm this year, the former chair Mary Beth Speakes, was transferred out of the area, and Mr. Finn took over as chair.

Mr. Finn reported that the committee had met six times and recommended 18 items for inclusion in the family life and human development curriculum. He had provided the Board with a list of those approved materials. They were pleased with the progressive approach of the staff of providing and disseminating information on AIDS and would encourage continuation of this effort. He said that as more materials on AIDS became available, it was critical that teachers stay up to date with current information. Teachers must be provided with the necessary on-going seminars to help them keep up to date. The committee was concerned that family life and human development courses were not reaching the high school student. At the elementary and middle school levels, required courses were taught to all students, subject to parental approval. At the high school level, virtually all students received education on contraception as part of their biology curriculum. However, the family life and human development courses were electives. In the past school year, less than 50 percent of the high schools conducted family life courses. Less than 8 percent of the high school students received any instruction in this area. If they excluded Magruder High School which had 175 students participating, the percentage dropped to 5 percent. The actual number of students in these programs had been dropping for the past three years. He pointed out that the credits did not apply to any required credit groups including practical arts, physical education, or sociology and psychology. They had heard of instances where counselors had advised students not to enroll in courses since there probably would not be enough students to offer the course anyway. This became a self-fulfilling prophecy. Mr. Finn reported that the committee recommended that all high school students receive instruction in the area of family life and human development. They made the following suggestions: (1) require a course as a high school graduation requirement, (2) allow family life courses to count towards the credit requirements in physical education and/or practical arts and/or sociology and psychology, or (3) include several weeks of family life instruction in all social studies electives. The committee believed it should be a priority to provide family life and human development instruction as part of the high school education because this was the time when students would be making critical decisions. They also suggested investigating why Magruder High School was so successful in attracting students and implementing similar programs in other high schools.

Dr. Cronin asked whether they would get a response to these recommendations, and Dr. Vance assured him there would be a staff response. In regard to AIDS education, Dr. Cronin asked how they assured themselves that parents had the information to give informed consent to this education. Mr. Masood replied that before any instructional program was given in family life or AIDS, there was either informed consent or an exclusionary clause. In AIDS
education, parents may exclude their children. The schools announced the viewing dates and the dates when the materials would be available prior to the instruction. Parents then had an opportunity to come and review before making their decision to exclude or include their children. In regard to Focus Area Three, they had to have written notification in hand before students were allowed to participate.

Mrs. Praisner thought it would be appropriate for the Board to receive an update on the AIDS bylaw and in-service training for teachers. Mr. Masood replied that at the evening meeting in September they planned to bring to the Board the full list of recommendations for compliance with the new AIDS bylaw.

Mrs. Praisner recalled that the committee had raised the same questions last year. She asked if the Board could have a copy of the committee's statistics. Mr. Finn explained that this information was in the report prepared for the state. Mrs. Praisner requested copies and assumed that the superintendent would be preparing a response to the report. She thanked the committee for their work. Mr. Masood expressed his appreciation to staff members, Donna Dale, Ron Baraloto, Phil Curry, Roger Robinette, Betty Takahashi, and Fran Dean.

RESOLUTION NO. 445-88  Re:  BOE APPEAL NO. 1988-22

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education confirm an extension in BOE Appeal No. 1988-22.

RESOLUTION NO. 446-88  Re:  BOE APPEAL NO. 1988-19

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education confirm an extension in BOE Appeal No. 1988-19.

RESOLUTION NO. 447-88  Re:  BOE APPEAL NO. 1988-15

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education dismiss BOE Appeal No. 1988-15 (student transfer) because the appellants did not pursue the appeal after due process had been given.

RESOLUTION NO. 448-88  Re:  BOE APPEAL NO. 1988-16

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education dismiss BOE Appeal No. 1988-16
because the appellants did not pursue the appeal after due process had been given.

RESOLUTION NO. 449-88  Re:  BOE APPEAL NO. 1988-23

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:
RESOLVED, That BOE Appeal No. 1988-23 (student transfer) be dismissed because the superintendent had granted the transfer.

RESOLUTION NO. 450-88  Re:  PRESENTATION OF PRELIMINARY PLANS
EAST SILVER SPRING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
(ADDITION)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:
WHEREAS, The architect for the East Silver Spring Elementary School addition has prepared a schematic design in accordance with the educational specifications; and
WHEREAS, The East Silver Spring Elementary School Planning Committee has approved the proposed schematic design; now therefore be it
RESOLVED, That the Board of Education approve the preliminary plan report for the East Silver Spring Elementary School addition, prepared by Thomas Clark Associates Architects.

RESOLUTION NO. 451-88  Re:  PRESENTATION OF PRELIMINARY PLANS
WESTBROOK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
MODERNIZATION

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:
WHEREAS, The architect for the Westbrook Elementary School modernization has prepared a schematic design in accordance with the educational specifications; and
WHEREAS, The Westbrook Elementary School Planning Committee has approved the proposed schematic design; now therefore be it
RESOLVED, That the Board of Education approve the preliminary plan report for the modernization of Westbrook Elementary School by Robert Glaser Associates.

Re:  SUPERINTENDENT'S PLAN FOR REVIEW OF
INTERAGENCY COORDINATING BOARD

Dr. Vance reported that in February the Board passed a resolution directing the superintendent to develop a plan to review the ICB and its relationship to MCPS. As staff reviewed the tape of that
meeting, they concluded that the intent in adopting that motion was not to challenge the present arrangement but to study the way the ICB functioned, to identify the strengths and weaknesses of its relationship with MCPS, and to recommend ways to improve that relationship. They believed the proposal submitted for the Board's consideration would enable them to do so. They believed the effort should be a collaborative one, and the design proposed an advisory committee for the study that would include the ICB staff.

Mrs. Praisner asked if they were talking about the involvement of ICB staff as opposed to ICB members. Mr. Clifford Baacke, director of the Division of Administrative Analysis and Audits, replied that they would probably include both. Their experience with stakeholder groups was the more different aspects and views represented in the group, the better off they were. He would suspect that both the voting board and staff members would have very useful views to be participants in that committee. However, he said the final decision, in part, would be up to the ICB. Mrs. Praisner noted that this was a kind of organization with Board-appointed members as well as the superintendent as a member. She hoped that they would convey through the superintendent and their members, the desire to have both kinds of representation.

Mrs. Praisner understood that the ICB itself was looking at an assessment of itself, but she was not sure how the timetable for this activity meshed with their review. Dr. Gail Ayers, director of the ICB, replied that their study would not be as formal with the exception of the analysis of the community schools. They were looking at appropriate reimbursements to the Board of Education for the use of their buildings. She thought the ICB was concerned about planning for the future, but at present it was not a formalized study.

Mrs. Praisner pointed out that there was a question of cost for the ICB user and another question was whether fees were adequate to cover "their" expenditures. She asked whether "their" referred to the ICB or the school system. Mr. Baacke explained that this was worded as to whether it would cover the ICB. The reimbursement aspect was the MCPS question. Mrs. Praisner said that some of the fees charged did require a reimbursement to the school system of utilities, etc. The question was whether it was adequate to cover the school system's expenditures. It might be that they might want to look at that issue. She also recommended that the stakeholders group might include former members of the ICB.

Dr. Cronin commented that he was pleased with the tone this was taking. He noted that they were recipients of the taxpayers' funds. While MCPS was in the business of education in the building, the buildings should be available for public use. The plan would be to ask questions that had arisen over time without being adversarial. Mr. Ewing called attention to a statement in the memo where it stated that the intent was not to challenge the present arrangement but to study the way the ICB functioned and recommend ways of improving that. He said this was a correct interpretation. However, he
thought that at the same time the study ought to proceed on the basis of whether the arrangement was benefitting MCPS or interfering with the mission of the schools. He suggested that they ask about options. For example, one option might be the prior arrangement where MCPS offered its buildings directly for public use. He hoped that they would look at what other school systems did in terms of how they managed the business of using public school buildings. He commented that there was a lot of criticism within MCPS of the ICB. He had never supported the notion of the ICB and did not now. He thought they should not continue this arrangement and should go back to managing this themselves. He said they could offer the same kinds of services and be in much fuller control of their own facilities. He did not know if other Board members shared this view, but a lot of principals shared that view. He hoped that they would talk with principals.

Dr. Cronin stated that if they considered this option he would want to know the staffing implications and budgetary implications in terms of administrative overload if MCPS were to duplicate the ICB effort. Mr. Ewing pointed out that the study design did not look at the hidden costs to the school system including the time employees spent in dealing with this whole set of issues. Business managers, school secretaries, and principals spent a fair amount of their time on this issue.

Mr. Baacke wanted to make it clear that this was not the study design. This was a conceptual approach, and about three stages from now they would have a study design. For example, they certainly would have principals in the stakeholders committee. If the stakeholders committee were to discuss the right issues, they would discuss the questions and options raised by the Board.

Mrs. DiFonzo recalled that some months ago Mrs. Praisner had suggested that they look at Ridgeview which had just become a community school. Mrs. Praisner explained that they were trying to assess the impact of wear and tear on the school because of community use. She had suggested they look at data to separate out the costs to Ridgeview since it had just become a community school. However, the staff response was that it was difficult to separate out those kinds of things, and the superintendent did not believe it would be productive to do. She suggested that the committee might want to look at this again.

Mrs. Rafel said it was important to remember as the stakeholders groups were being put together that there were people in the community who were very supportive of the ICB and people who had expressed concerns about the ICB. She hoped there could be a balance on the group.

Re: BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

1. Mrs. Praisner noted that Board members had received copies of the report of the Commission on the Future. The County Council had set aside September 28 to receive comments from the community and to discuss the report. Unfortunately, Board members had several
commitments on that date including the Maryland Association of Boards of Education convention. She said the Board should convey to the Council that the absence of Board members did not reflect a lack of interest in this issue but the fact that they had other major commitments. She suggested that it would be useful for the Board to have a general discussion of the report, especially the education recommendations.

2. Mrs. Praisner said that Mrs. DiFonzo had indicated they had attended the MACO conference which included a panel discussion on educational accountability which clearly showed to her that "accountability" meant many things to many people. She had also attended the Education Commission of the States conference in Baltimore where again some of the discussion was on accountability. At that conference, the point had been made that everyone was using that term differently. She had found the conference to be interesting and useful, and she would provide the Board Office with a packet of materials for review by other Board members.

3. Mr. Ewing reported that they had received an information item showing very impressive advances in minority procurement by the school system. He hoped that this would get some attention. The percentage of minority firms receiving contracts had gone from 5 percent in 1987 to 10 percent in 1988. He commented that the superintendent and staff deserved high praise for this.

4. Mr. Ewing said that in regard to the master calendar, every two or three years the calendar irritated him. He always hoped they might be able to persuade some superintendent that the Board would get the operating budget sooner. This year the Board had 40 days to consider the budget, and the Council had 76 days. This meant that the Board was pressed for time, and he thought they would do a better job with the budget if they were not so pressed.

5. Mr. Ewing pointed out that the Division of Construction was scheduled to move in September. As long as he had been on the Board, the argument had gone on about central office and space and the interaction of staff in the main building. It was his view that what they did in the central office was amenable to some standard setting. The amount of space per person was standard, but they did not have standards for that. If they did have standards, he would feel much better about moving offices around. He did not know why the Division of Construction ended up moving anymore than he understood why anyone else should move. With standard setting and planning, they could be fairly rational. For example, it might be better to have portables near the CESC rather than dispersing staff. There was the possibility of a new facility, and he recalled that when Dr. Bernardo was superintendent they had begun to develop plans for a new building.

6. Dr. Shoenberg stated that it would helpful for him to know why the Construction group was chosen to move as opposed to some other division. He would like to know the factors that went into making that decision. He would also like to know what people in the CESC worked closely with Construction on a day-to-day basis and what would be the effect of the move on this.

RESOLUTION NO. 452-88  Re: EXECUTIVE SESSION - SEPTEMBER 14, 1988
On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Section 10-508, State Government Article of the ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on September 14, 1988, at 9 a.m. to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement that prevents public disclosures about a particular proceeding or matter as permitted under the State Government Article, Section 10-508; and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business; and be it further

RESOLVED, That such meeting continue in executive closed session at noon to discuss the matters listed above as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

RESOLUTION NO. 453-88 Re: MINUTES OF JUNE 16, 1988

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Cronin, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the minutes of June 16, 1988, be approved.

Dr. Cronin assumed the chair.

RESOLUTION NO. 454-88 Re: MINUTES OF JUNE 27, 1988

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the minutes of June 27, 1988, be approved.

Mrs. DiFonzo assumed the chair.

RESOLUTION NO. 455-88 Re: MINUTES OF JULY 12, 1988

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Rafel seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:
RESOLVED, That the minutes of July 12, 1988, be approved.

Re: PROPOSED BILL ON SCHOLARSHIP FOR STUDENT BOARD MEMBER

Board members offered comments and suggestions on a proposed bill on a scholarship for the Montgomery County student Board member. They requested Mrs. Lois Stoner, legislative aide, to convey their comments to Delegate Boergers who planned to reintroduce a bill in the 1989 session.

RESOLUTION NO. 456-88 Re: APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO THE EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, INC.

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, On July 12, 1988, the Board of Education established the Montgomery County Public Schools' Educational Foundation, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education approved the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws of the Montgomery County Public Schools' Educational Foundation, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, The Bylaws authorize the Board of Education to select seven Directors as follows: One member of the Board of Education (Mr. Blair G. Ewing was appointed on July 25, 1988), three members from the community-at-large, and three members of the Montgomery County Public Schools' staff; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the following three staff members be appointed by the Board of Education to serve a term as designated:

Renee M. B. Brimfield -- one year
Leroy C. Evans -- two years
Patricia A. Sweeney -- three years

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the three members from the community-at-large will be appointed on September 14, 1988.

RESOLUTION NO. 457-88 Re: DISCUSSION OF GIFTED AND TALENTED ISSUES

On motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mr. Goldensohn (on July 25, 1988), the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education schedule a time for discussion of the DEA study of gifted and talented programs, the report of the superintendent's advisory committee for gifted and talented and, if appropriate, a review of the policy on gifted and talented.
RESOLUTION NO. 458-88  Re: SCHEDULING A DISCUSSION OF DEA/NSF MATH REPORT

On motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education schedule a discussion of the recently issued report funded by the National Science Foundation and completed by the DEA staff on the Participation and Performance of Women and Minorities in Mathematics.

RESOLUTION NO. 459-88  Re: DISCUSSION OF REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE

On motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education schedule a discussion of the recommendation of the Commission on the Future.

Re: NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Ewing reported that the Board had had a lot of communication from Mr. Malcolm Lawrence on privacy issues. Mr. Lawrence recommended that Dr. Martin's statement on this issue be widely distributed and included in the Board's student rights and responsibilities policy. Mr. Ewing did not know when the policy was scheduled for review, but he asked the superintendent to check into what could be done.

Re: ITEMS OF INFORMATION

Board members received the following items of information:

1. Items in Process
2. Master Calendar of Board Meetings
3. Centralized Substitute Calling System
4. Suspension Report
5. Minority-, Female-, or Disabled-owned Business (MFD) Procurement Report for the Fourth Quarter of FY 1988

Re: ADJOURNMENT

The president adjourned the meeting at 10:40 p.m.
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