# Office of the Superintendent of Schools MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS 

Rockville, Maryland

November 20, 2019

## MEMORANDUM

To: $\quad$ Members of the Board of Education
From: Jack R. Smith, Superintendent of Schools
Subject: Superintendent's Recommended FY 2021 Capital Budget and the FY 2021-2026 Capital Improvements Program (10-28-19-04-A,-B,-C)

During the Presentation of the Superintendent's Recommended Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 Capital Budget and the FY 2021-2026 Capital Improvements Program, Board members requested the following information:

## Question A

Mrs. O'Neill requested that staff send the Board a report containing the projection numbers, disaggregated school-by-school projections versus actual numbers.

## Response

My memorandum to the Board of Education dated November 13, 2019, Summary of Enrollment Projections Analysis, included information comparing the enrollment projections that were developed as part of the amended FY 2019-2014 Capital Improvements Program (CIP) for the 2019-2020 school year to the preliminary September 30 enrollment figures for the 2019-2020 school year included in the FY 2021-2026 CIP (Attachment A).

## Question B

Mrs. Smondrowski would like a disaggregated report of the results of the survey that was sent out to the three clusters, as referenced on slide 29.

## Response

Attachment B presents a summary of the survey data included in the Clarksburg, Northwest, and Seneca Valley Clusters Boundary Study Report that was released on September 11, 2019. The summary data was included as Attachment D of the Boundary Study Report. In addition, Attachments E-1 and E-2 of the Boundary Study Report provide disaggregated lists of all survey participants.

Ms. Silvestre requested the following:

## Question C1

Information regarding the level of input received from stakeholder groups NAACP and Identity.

## Response

A community engagement supplement (Attachment C) was released in October 2019. The supplement sets forth the community engagement process that occurred between June and September 2019 with advocacy groups and stakeholders to ensure that information was shared and received in all areas of the impacted clusters.

## Question C2

A report of the survey results disaggregated by the languages in which they were transmitted to the communities.

## Response

Two surveys were available for the Clarksburg, Northwest, and Seneca Valley Clusters Boundary Study Report. For the first survey, 4,387 participants responded in English; 19 responded in Chinese; 5 responded in Korean; 6 responded in Spanish; and 1 responded in Vietnamese. For the second survey, 3,024 participants responded in English; 20 responded in Chinese; 15 responded in Korean; 17 responded in Spanish; and 2 responded in Vietnamese.

## Question C3

Information regarding the number of clicks that each translated document received, and the counts per language.

## Response

Please see the response for Question C2 regarding the survey results.

## Question C4

A report regarding the level of input received from the focus group sessions. Please include the level of student engagement/responses.

## Response

Please see the response for Question C 1 regarding community engagement. In addition, 640 students responded to the first survey and 230 students responded to the second survey.

If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Andrew M. Zuckerman, chief operating officer, at 240-740-3050 or Mr. Seth P. Adams, director, Department of Facilities Management, at 240-314-1000.

JRS:AMZ:SPA:lmt
Attachments
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Ms. Webb

Office of the Superintendent of Schools
MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Rockville, Maryland
November 13, 2019

## MEMORANDUM

To: Members of the Board of Education
From:
Subject:


During the Board of Education's November 4, 2019, work session on the Superintendent's Recommended FY 2021 Capital Budget and the FY 2021-2026 Capital Improvements Program (CIP), Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) staff presented enrollment information including the preliminary September 30 enrollment figures, as well as the projections for the six years of the CIP. Board members requested additional information comparing the enrollment projections developed as part of the amended Fiscal Year (FY) 2019-2024 CIP for the 2019-2020 school year to the preliminary September 30 enrollment figures for the 2019-2020 school year included in the FY 2021-2026 CIP.

The CIP released in October 2018 included enrollment projections for the 2019-2020 school year through the 2024-2025 school year. These enrollment projections were developed based on a series of models utilized by an outside consultant, MGT Consulting Group. The models included:

- Average percentage growth, linear regression, cohort survival, and student-per-household;
- A 10-year history of spring grade-by-grade and school-by-school enrollment data;
- Housing data and student generation rates provided by the Montgomery County Planning Department;
- Resident birth data for Montgomery County from the Maryland Department of Health was used to calculate kindergarten classes;
- Feeder percentages were used to allocate students from Grades 5 to 6 and from Grades 8 to 9 ; and
- A weighted average was used for individual school results.

Because the CIP projections are developed in the fall, our practice each year is to update the projections for the first year of the CIP in the spring, and include the updated figures for the upcoming school year in the Master Plan that is printed in June following CIP approval. These updated projections utilize the official September 30 enrollment figures as well as take into account enrollment trends and experience during the school year.

For the current school year, the preliminary September 30, 2019, enrollment totaled 165,439.

- The October 2018 CIP enrollment projection for the 2019-2020 school year totaled 164,296 , or 0.7 percent less than the preliminary September 30 enrollment.
- The updated spring enrollment projection for the 2019-2020 school year that appeared in print in the Master Plan in June totaled 163,439 , or 1.2 percent less than the preliminary September 3 enrollment.

Table 1 illustrates the breakdown of enrollment by grade-level band for preliminary September 30, 2019, enrollment, as well as for the CIP projections for the 2019-2020 school year. A negative number in the "Difference" column indicates the projection was less than preliminary enrollment, and a positive number indicates the projection was more than preliminary enrollment.

Table 1

| Grade Level \& Program | Preliminary September 30, 2019 Enrollment* | Projection <br> Amended <br> FY 2019- <br> 2024 CIP | Difference <br> Amended CIP <br> Projection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2019-2020 | 2019-2020 |
| Prekindergarten | 2,335 | 2,347 | 12 |
| Head Start | 645 | 646 | 1 |
| Grades K-5 | 72,129 | 72,809 | 680 |
| Grades 6-8 | 37,720 | 37,088 | -632 |
| Grades 9-12 | 50,892 | 49,786 | -1,106 |
| Total K-12 | 160,741 | 159,683 | -1,058 |
| Pre-K Special Education | 1,718 | 1,620 | -98 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 165,439 | 164,296 | -1,143 |

*Source: MCPS Office of Shared Accountability

The increase in international student enrollment for the 2019-2020 school year certainly can explain some of the difference between the projection and the preliminary enrollment.

- During August 2019, a total of 1,174 international students were enrolled in MCPS, which is a total of 115 students more than the 5 -year average of 1,059 .
- The greatest increase was seen in September 2019, when a total of 1,104 international students enrolled, which is a total of 432 students more than the 5-year average of 672 .
- The combined July, August, and September total of 2,793 international students for the 2019-2020 school year exceeded the 5-year average enrollment of 2,103 international students for the same months by 690 students.

For projections to be within five percent of enrollment at the individual school level is desirable. Table 2 illustrates overall and by school level enrollment projections for the 2019-2020 school year included in the CIP and the Master Plan compared with the preliminary September 30 enrollment.

Table 2

| School Level | Less Than <br> 2 Percent |  | Less Than <br> 5 Percent |  | Less Than <br> 7 Percent |  | Less Than <br> 10 Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CIP | MP | CIP | MP | CIP | MP | CIP | MP |
|  | $26 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| All Schools | $24 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Elementary | $28 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Middle | $32 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Projections for total countywide enrollment were closer in the CIP; however, for individual schools, projections were closer in the Master Plan. This difference can be explained as the Master Plan projections use the official September 30 enrollment figures and capture additional trends and enrollment during the year.

A school-by-school summary that compares the CIP enrollment projections for the 2019-2020 school year to the preliminary September 30 enrollment figures is attached for your reference (Attachment A). In addition, Attachment B presents a comparison, at cluster level, of the CIP enrollment projections to the preliminary September 30 enrollment figures.

If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Andrew M. Zuckerman, chief operating officer, at 240-740-3050 or Ms. Adrienne L. Karamihas, director, Division of Capital Planning, at 240-314-4700.
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## Attachments

Copy to:
Executive Staff
Mr. Adams
Ms. Karamihas
Ms. Webb

Comparison of Amended FY2019-2024 CIP to Preliminary September 30, 2019 Enrollment

| School Name | Amended <br> FY2019 - <br> 2024 CIP <br> Projection <br> 2019-2020 | Preliminary September 30th, 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amended FY } \\ & \text { 2019-2024 } \\ & \text { CIP } \\ & \text { Projection } \\ & \text { difference to } \\ & \text { Preliminary } \\ & \text { Sept. 30th } \end{aligned}$ | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arcola | 692 | 749 | -57 | -8.24\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ashburton | 858 | 923 | -65 | -7.58\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Bannockburn | 460 | 461 | -1 | -0.22\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Bayard Rustin | 603 | 719 | -116 | -19.24\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Beall | 533 | 531 | 2 | 0.38\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Bel Pre | 600 | 613 | -13 | -2.17\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Bells Mill | 622 | 642 | -20 | -3.22\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Belmont | 318 | 348 | -30 | -9.43\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Bethesda | 654 | 666 | -12 | -1.83\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Beverly Farms | 600 | 585 | 15 | 2.50\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Bradley Hills | 626 | 566 | 60 | 9.58\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Brooke Grove | 414 | 464 | -50 | -12.08\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Brookhaven | 461 | 467 | -6 | -1.30\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Brown Station | 582 | 637 | -55 | -9.45\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Burning Tree | 476 | 470 | 6 | 1.26\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Burnt Mills | 658 | 579 | 79 | 12.01\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Burtonsville | 623 | 605 | 18 | 2.89\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Candlewood | 393 | 387 | 6 | 1.53\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Cannon Road | 435 | 412 | 23 | 5.29\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Captain James Daly | 574 | 618 | -44 | -7.67\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Carderock Springs | 395 | 366 | 29 | 7.34\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cashell | 389 | 343 | 46 | 11.83\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cedar Grove | 443 | 418 | 25 | 5.64\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Chevy Chase | 442 | 466 | -24 | -5.43\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Clarksburg | 522 | 624 | -102 | -19.54\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Clearspring | 691 | 589 | 102 | 14.76\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Clopper Mill | 568 | 539 | 29 | 5.11\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Cloverly | 569 | 511 | 58 | 10.19\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cold Spring | 328 | 332 | -4 | -1.22\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| College Gardens | 699 | 634 | 65 | 9.30\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cresthaven | 544 | 505 | 39 | 7.17\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Damascus | 353 | 362 | -9 | -2.55\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |


| School Name | Amended FY2019 2024 CIP <br> Projection 2019-2020 | Preliminary <br> September <br> 30th, 2019 | Amended FY <br> 2019-2024 <br> CIP <br> Projection difference to Preliminary Sept. 30th | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Darnestown | 293 | 323 | -30 | -10.24\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diamond | 753 | 792 | -39 | -5.18\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Dr. Charles R. Drew | 463 | 498 | -35 | -7.56\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dr. Sally K. Ride | 497 | 502 | -5 | -1.01\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DuFief | 329 | 316 | 13 | 3.95\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| East Silver Spring | 519 | 498 | 21 | 4.05\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fairland | 674 | 596 | 78 | 11.57\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fallsmead | 560 | 565 | -5 | -0.89\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Farmland | 833 | 856 | -23 | -2.76\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fields Road | 496 | 487 | 9 | 1.81\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Flora M. Singer | 689 | 683 | 6 | 0.87\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Flower Hill | 469 | 458 | 11 | 2.35\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Flower Valley | 480 | 499 | -19 | -3.96\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Forest Knolls | 729 | 755 | -26 | -3.57\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fox Chapel | 590 | 613 | -23 | -3.90\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gaithersburg | 839 | 866 | -27 | -3.22\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Galway | 813 | 763 | 50 | 6.15\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Garrett Park | 793 | 802 | -9 | -1.13\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Georgian Forest | 651 | 626 | 25 | 3.84\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Germantown | 331 | 325 | 6 | 1.81\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Glen Haven | 490 | 510 | -20 | -4.08\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Glenallan | 736 | 747 | -11 | -1.49\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Goshen | 589 | 571 | 18 | 3.06\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Great Seneca Creek | 633 | 594 | 39 | 6.16\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Greencastle | 732 | 721 | 11 | 1.50\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Greenwood | 523 | 521 | 2 | 0.38\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Harmony Hills | 721 | 745 | -24 | -3.33\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Highland | 565 | 555 | 10 | 1.77\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Highland View | 410 | 434 | -24 | -5.85\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Jackson Road | 705 | 732 | -27 | -3.83\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| JoAnn Leleck | 895 | 874 | 21 | 2.35\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Jones Lane | 461 | 442 | 19 | 4.12\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| School Name | Amended <br> FY2019 - <br> 2024 CIP <br> Projection <br> 2019-2020 | Preliminary <br> September <br> 30th, 2019 | Amended FY <br> 2019-2024 <br> CIP <br> Projection difference to <br> Preliminary <br> Sept. 30th | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Judith A. Resnik | 625 | 602 | 23 | 3.68\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Kemp Mill | 493 | 486 | 7 | 1.42\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Kensington-Parkwood | 650 | 643 | 7 | 1.08\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Lake Seneca | 545 | 514 | 31 | 5.69\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Lakewood | 490 | 461 | 29 | 5.92\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Laytonsville | 388 | 392 | -4 | -1.03\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Little Bennett | 566 | 637 | -71 | -12.54\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lois P. Rockwell | 484 | 454 | 30 | 6.20\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Lucy V. Barnsley | 730 | 737 | -7 | -0.96\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Luxmanor | 599 | 678 | -79 | -13.19\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Maryvale | 646 | 625 | 21 | 3.25\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Meadow Hall | 409 | 409 | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Mill Creek Towne | 445 | 507 | -62 | -13.93\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Monocacy | 145 | 151 | -6 | -4.14\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Montgomery Knolls | 467 | 470 | -3 | -0.64\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| New Hampshire Estates | 464 | 482 | -18 | -3.88\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| North Chevy Chase | 268 | 259 | 9 | 3.36\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Oak View | 440 | 423 | 17 | 3.86\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Oakland Terrace | 462 | 531 | -69 | -14.94\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Olney | 703 | 683 | 20 | 2.84\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Pine Crest | 449 | 413 | 36 | 8.02\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Piney Branch | 673 | 650 | 23 | 3.42\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Poolesville | 472 | 489 | -17 | -3.60\% | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| Potomac | 452 | 376 | 76 | 16.81\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Rachel Carson | 989 | 893 | 96 | 9.71\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ritchie Park | 429 | 401 | 28 | 6.53\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Rock Creek Forest | 750 | 760 | -10 | -1.33\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Rock Creek Valley | 426 | 436 | -10 | -2.35\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Rock View | 566 | 655 | -89 | -15.72\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Rolling Terrace | 748 | 775 | -27 | -3.61\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Ronald McNair | 850 | 828 | 22 | 2.59\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Roscoe R. Nix | 485 | 483 | 2 | 0.41\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |


| School Name | Amended <br> FY2019 - <br> 2024 CIP <br> Projection <br> 2019-2020 | Preliminary <br> September <br> 30th, 2019 | Amended FY <br> 2019-2024 <br> CIP <br> Projection difference to <br> Preliminary <br> Sept. 30th | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rosemary Hills | 571 | 570 | 1 | 0.18\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Rosemont | 615 | 647 | -32 | -5.20\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| S. Christa McAuliffe | 563 | 554 | 9 | 1.60\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Sargent Shriver | 816 | 744 | 72 | 8.82\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sequoyah | 397 | 376 | 21 | 5.29\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Seven Locks | 417 | 425 | -8 | -1.92\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Sherwood | 511 | 524 | -13 | -2.54\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Sligo Creek | 669 | 680 | -11 | -1.64\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Snowden Farm | 649 | 644 | 5 | 0.77\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Somerset | 609 | 582 | 27 | 4.43\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| South Lake | 856 | 897 | -41 | -4.79\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Spark M. Matsunaga | 760 | 710 | 50 | 6.58\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Stedwick | 616 | 538 | 78 | 12.66\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Stone Mill | 627 | 588 | 39 | 6.22\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Stonegate | 526 | 501 | 25 | 4.75\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Strathmore | 426 | 483 | -57 | -13.38\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Strawberry Knoll | 673 | 651 | 22 | 3.27\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Summit Hall | 681 | 702 | -21 | -3.08\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Takoma Park | 618 | 613 | 5 | 0.81\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Thurgood Marshall | 689 | 622 | 67 | 9.72\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Travilah | 379 | 341 | 38 | 10.03\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Twinbrook | 547 | 558 | -11 | -2.01\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Viers Mill | 622 | 582 | 40 | 6.43\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Washington Grove | 512 | 462 | 50 | 9.77\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Waters Landing | 729 | 659 | 70 | 9.60\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Watkins Mill | 738 | 731 | 7 | 0.95\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Wayside | 573 | 500 | 73 | 12.74\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Weller Road | 701 | 747 | -46 | -6.56\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Westbrook | 356 | 341 | 15 | 4.21\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Westover | 288 | 316 | -28 | -9.72\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Wheaton Woods | 552 | 504 | 48 | 8.70\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Whetstone | 792 | 742 | 50 | 6.31\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |


| School Name | Amended <br> FY2019 - <br> 2024 CIP <br> Projection <br> 2019-2020 | Preliminary <br> September <br> 30th, 2019 | Amended FY 2019-2024 CIP Projection difference to Preliminary Sept. 30th | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| William B. Gibbs Jr. | 692 | 621 | 71 | 10.26\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| William T. Page | 595 | 615 | -20 | -3.36\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Wilson Wims | 827 | 768 | 59 | 7.13\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Wood Acres | 682 | 649 | 33 | 4.84\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Woodfield | 351 | 355 | -4 | -1.14\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Woodlin | 582 | 554 | 28 | 4.81\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Wyngate | 716 | 742 | -26 | -3.63\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| A. Mario Loiederman | 977 | 999 | -22 | -2.25\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Argyle | 1006 | 1024 | -18 | -1.79\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Benjamin Banneker | 882 | 905 | -23 | -2.61\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Briggs Chaney | 972 | 937 | 35 | 3.60\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Cabin John | 996 | 1040 | -44 | -4.42\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Col. E. Brooke Lee | 769 | 771 | -2 | -0.26\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Earle B. Wood | 1035 | 994 | 41 | 3.96\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Eastern | 968 | 1010 | -42 | -4.34\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Forest Oak | 876 | 950 | -74 | -8.45\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Francis Scott Key | 1065 | 1004 | 61 | 5.73\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Gaithersburg | 860 | 877 | -17 | -1.98\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Hallie Wells | 794 | 873 | -79 | -9.95\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Herbert Hoover | 1026 | 1045 | -19 | -1.85\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| John Poole | 385 | 390 | -5 | -1.30\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| John T Baker | 895 | 830 | 65 | 7.26\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Julius West | 1337 | 1382 | -45 | -3.37\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Kingsview | 1017 | 983 | 34 | 3.34\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Lakelands Park | 1136 | 1200 | -64 | -5.63\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Martin Luther King, Jr | 680 | 764 | -84 | -12.35\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Montgomery Village | 777 | 791 | -14 | -1.80\% | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Neelsville | 931 | 945 | -14 | -1.50\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Newport Mill | 671 | 702 | -31 | -4.62\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| North Bethesda | 1169 | 1233 | -64 | -5.47\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Parkland | 1067 | 1142 | -75 | -7.03\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Redland | 576 | 635 | -59 | -10.24\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| School Name | Amended <br> FY2019 - <br> 2024 CIP <br> Projection <br> 2019-2020 | Preliminary <br> September <br> 30th, 2019 | Amended FY <br> 2019-2024 <br> CIP <br> Projection difference to <br> Preliminary <br> Sept. 30th | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ridgeview | 722 | 784 | -62 | -8.59\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Robert Frost | 1034 | 1029 | 5 | 0.48\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Roberto Clemente | 1379 | 1289 | 90 | 6.53\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Rocky Hill | 894 | 883 | 11 | 1.23\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Rosa Parks | 848 | 868 | -20 | -2.36\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Shady Grove | 619 | 575 | 44 | 7.11\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Silver Creek | 859 | 887 | -28 | -3.26\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Silver Spring International | 1129 | 1153 | -24 | -2.13\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Sligo | 729 | 722 | 7 | 0.96\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Takoma Park | 1129 | 1162 | -33 | -2.92\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Thomas W. Pyle | 1531 | 1534 | -3 | -0.20\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Tilden | 969 | 990 | -21 | -2.17\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Westland | 775 | 808 | -33 | -4.26\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| White Oak | 816 | 845 | -29 | -3.55\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| William H. Farquhar | 754 | 694 | 60 | 7.96\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Albert Einstein | 1834 | 1820 | 14 | 0.76\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Bethesda-Chevy Chase | 2117 | 2259 | -142 | -6.71\% | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Clarksburg | 2281 | 2472 | -191 | -8.37\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Col. Zadok Magruder | 1640 | 1700 | -60 | -3.66\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Damascus | 1323 | 1354 | -31 | -2.34\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Gaithersburg | 2342 | 2412 | -70 | -2.99\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| James Blake | 1724 | 1795 | -71 | -4.12\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| John F. Kennedy | 1775 | 1830 | -55 | -3.10\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Montgomery Blair | 3181 | 3227 | -46 | -1.45\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Northwest | 2640 | 2624 | 16 | 0.61\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Northwood | 1740 | 1808 | -68 | -3.91\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Paint Branch | 2051 | 1997 | 54 | 2.63\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Poolesville | 1185 | 1207 | -22 | -1.86\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Quince Orchard | 2144 | 2160 | -16 | -0.75\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Richard Montgomery | 2467 | 2507 | -40 | -1.62\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Rockville | 1482 | 1442 | 40 | 2.70\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Seneca Valley | 1209 | 1232 | -23 | -1.90\% | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |


| School Name | Amended FY2019 2024 CIP <br> Projection <br> 2019-2020 | Preliminary <br> September <br> 30th, 2019 | Amended FY 2019-2024 <br> CIP <br> Projection difference to Preliminary Sept. 30th | Percent difference CIP to Preliminary Sept. 30th | CIP <br> Projection less than two percent | CIP <br> Projection less than five percent | CIP <br> Projection less than seven percent | CIP <br> Projection less than ten percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sherwood | 1990 | 1965 | 25 | 1.26\% | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Springbrook | 1843 | 1748 | 95 | 5.15\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Thomas S. Wootton | 2065 | 2116 | -51 | -2.47\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Walt Whitman | 2094 | 2040 | 54 | 2.58\% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Walter Johnson | 2596 | 2748 | -152 | -5.86\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Watkins Mill | 1693 | 1597 | 96 | 5.67\% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Wheaton | 1918 | 2193 | -275 | -14.34\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Winston Churchill | 2214 | 2275 | -61 | -2.76\% | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |


| Percentage Within | Total | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ES | $38 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
|  | MS | $45 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  | HS | $52 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Comparison of Amended FY2019-2024 CIP to Preliminary September 30, 2019 Enrollment
by Cluster

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: when a school is in more than one cluster it is counted in both for the purpose of this analysis

## Summary of 1st Survey Results

- Total of 4,387 respondents to the survey. Not all respondents answered every question.
- Of the respondents (can check more than one)
- 3,087 - Parents of students
- 714 - Community members with no children in MCPS
- 269 - MCPS employees
- 640 - MCPS students
- Of the respondents (can check more than one school in the cluster)
- 3,526 - Clarksburg Cluster
- 2,290 - Northwest Cluster
- 509 - Seneca Valley Cluster
- Respondents interested in (top 3)
- 3,309 - Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate Classes
- 2,848 - College Credit Programs
- 1,799 - Cyber Security/Computer Sciences



## How Important To You Are The Following Factors When Considering Boundary Reassignments?



## Overall Which Options Do You Believe Most Advances The Board of Education's Factor For Geography



Overall Which Options Do You Believe Most Advances The
Board of Education's Factor For Demographic Characteristics Of Student Population


# Overall Which Options Do You Believe Most Advances The Board of Education's Factor For Facility Utilization 



## Indicate Your Top Three Preferred Options

■Option 1 ■Option 2 ■Option 3 ■Option 4 ■Option 5 ■Option 5a ■Option 6 ■Option 7


## Summary of 2nd Survey Results

- Total of 3,078 respondents to the survey. Not all respondents answered every question.
- Of the respondents (can check more than one)
- 2,259 - Parents of students
- 600 - Community members with no children in MCPS
- 205 - MCPS employees
- 230 - MCPS students
- Of the respondents (can check more than one school in the cluster)
- 2,139 - Clarksburg Cluster
- 1,473 - Northwest Cluster
- 415 - Seneca Valley Cluster
- How students travel to school (can check more than one)
- 1,818-School bus
- 1,159 - Parent/Guardian Drops Off
- 371 - MCPS assigned walker
- 102 - Student drives vehicle

Number of Respondents by School


## How Important To You Are The Following Factors When Considering Boundary Reassignments?



## Overall Which Options Do You Believe Most Advances The Board of Education's Factor For Geography




#### Abstract

Overall Which Options Do You Believe Most Advances The Board of Education's Factor For Demographic Characteristics Of Student Population




## Overall Which Options Do You Believe Most Advances The Board of Education's Factor For Facility Utilization



Indicate Your Top Three Preferred Options


## Has the community engagement process worked well in surfacing stakeholder viewpoints?



# Supplement to the Clarksburg, Northwest, and Seneca Valley Clusters Boundary Study Report <br> October 2019 

The Board of Education authorized a boundary study to explore secondary school reassignments in the Clarksburg, Northwest and Seneca Valley high school clusters. In addition to the three high schools-Clarksburg, Northwest, and Seneca Valley-the middle schools that articulate to these schools were included in the scope of the boundary study to evaluate enrollment patterns and utilization rates for the schools.

Throughout the process, staff solicited stakeholder input in accordance with Policy ABA, Community Involvement. Over the course of the spring, twelve community meetings were held at the three high schools to share information and solicit input. Two online surveys were available for stakeholders to provide input on the options and the process.

In addition to the widespread community outreach across all affected clusters, staff worked with advocacy groups and stakeholders to ensure that information was shared and received in all areas of the impacted clusters. Through this additional outreach, targeted focus groups and community meetings were held with stakeholder communities during the summer to gain further feedback on the developed options. The following is a summary of this community engagement.

## Community Engagement - June-August 2019

On June 19 and 26, 2019, two community meetings were held, one at the Plum Gar Community Center and the other at the Gilchrist Center. The purpose of these meetings was to share information regarding the boundary study process; the developed options; opportunities for continued feedback; and the next steps in the process including the superintendent's recommendation, public hearing opportunities, and Board of Education deliberations. A question and answer period followed the presentation to clarify and answer stakeholder questions and to gain further feedback on the boundary process and staff developed options.

Throughout the boundary study process, Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) staff met with the PTA leadership from Seneca Valley High School to provide answers to questions, understand the leadership's priorities in the boundary process, and gain feedback regarding the staff developed options. This dialogue continued through the summer.

One outcome of the summer dialogue was that the Seneca Valley High School PTA leadership submitted a reassignment proposal for the Clarksburg, Northwest, and Seneca Valley Clusters Boundary Study. The Seneca Valley High School PTA leadership's reassignment proposal is outlined below:

- At the high school level:
- Reassign Lake Seneca Elementary School from Seneca Valley High School to Northwest High School
- Reassign the William B. Gibbs Jr. Elementary School from Clarksburg High School to Seneca Valley High School
- Reassign the southern portion of the Clarksburg Elementary School service area from Clarksburg High School to Seneca Valley High School
- Reassign the Clopper Mill and Spark M. Matsunaga elementary school islands from Northwest High School to Seneca Valley High School
- Reassign Ronald McNair Elementary School from Northwest High School to Seneca Valley High School
- At the middle school level:
- Reassign William B. Gibbs Jr. Elementary School to Neelsville Middle School
- Reassign Capt. James E. Daly Elementary School to Rocky Hill Middle School
- Reassign Lake Seneca Elementary School to Kingsview Middle School
- Reassign Ronald McNair Elementary School to Martin Luther King Jr. Middle School
- Reassign a portion of Great Seneca Creek Elementary School to Kingsview Middle School

MCPS staff analyzed the impact that the proposed reassignment from the Seneca Valley High School PTA leadership would create in terms of the three factors in Policy FAA, Educational Facilities Planning: demographic characteristics of student population; geography; and, facility utilization. The staff analysis is summarized below in each of these policy areas.

- Demographics of Student Population
- Clarksburg High School's Hispanic population would increase by 2.5 percent and the FARMS participation would increase by 1.2 percent.
- Northwest High School's Asian population would decrease by 2.7 percent and the FARMS participation would increase by 2.5 percent.
- Seneca Valley High School's White population would increase by 5.1 percent and the FARMS participation would decrease by 9.5 percent.
- Geography
- Creates noncontiguous boundaries including Lake Seneca and Ronald McNair elementary schools.
- Reassigns walkers at the middle school and high school level.
- Approximately 96 percent of the Lake Seneca Elementary School students are walkers to Seneca Valley High School and would be bussed to Northwest High School in this proposal.
- Approximately 87 percent of the Lake Seneca Elementary School service area walks to Martin Luther King, Jr. Middle School and would be bussed to Kingsview Middle School in this proposal.
- Future walkers at William B. Gibbs Elementary School would be bussed to Seneca Valley High School in this proposal.


## - Utilization

- As stated during the boundary process, the capacity at Seneca Valley High School cannot accommodate all of the students from Clarksburg and Northwest high schools, therefore, the two schools would remain overutilized.
- Clarksburg High School would be approximately 200 students over capacity by the end of the six-year period.
- Northwest High School would be approximately 280 students over capacity by the end of the six-year period.
- Seneca Valley High School would be approximately 240 students over capacity by the end of the six-year period. The new Seneca Valley High School configuration will not have room for future expansion for this building and will have very little room on-site for relocatable classrooms.


## Community Engagement - September 2019

On September 19, 2019, a focus group meeting was held, sponsored by Identity, Inc. and the National Association of the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) Parents' Council. The purpose of the focus group meeting was to provide information regarding the boundary study process, the timeline moving forward through the Capital Improvements Program process, and to listen and gain feedback on important issues and concerns of the participants related to all aspects of the boundary study process.

Participants shared their views regarding demographics, geography, utilization, and existing and new program offerings.

With respect to demographics, participants shared the following:

- Hopes that the new school would be diverse.
- Concerns about the diversity of students who would participate in the Career and Technology Education (CTE) program.
- Concerns that schools with large numbers of students who are ethnic and racial minorities do not get the same amount of quality resources as other schools.
- Concerned that many of the re-zoning options and programs being offered threatens the diversity.
- Having equal proportions of demographic characteristics in the student body populations.

With respect to geography, participants shared the following:

- Concerns that reassigning students based on demographic characteristics could lead to separating communities.
- That students who live close to Seneca Valley High School should be allowed to attend that school.
- Seneca Valley High School students should have priority to maintain their existing boundaries.
- Concerns that students who are residents of the Clarksburg area should be able to remain in Clarksburg High School; similarly, students who reside in Germantown should be able to remain in Seneca Valley High School.
- Concerns about the impact of increased busing on travel times and bus overcrowding.

With respect to facility utilization, participants shared the following:

- Concerns that Seneca Valley High School will have a high number of students in a short period of time and become overcrowded quickly, as has occurred with Northwest and Clarksburg high schools.
- Concerns about future overcrowding and large class sizes.
- Concerns about supervision of a large student body and the need to ensure school safety and security.
- Concerns that large class sizes will not allow for teacher/student connections.

With respect to existing and new programs, participants shared the following:

- Excitement about all the new opportunities for learning.
- Excitement about Advanced Placement (AP) and Honors courses.
- Concerns that an overemphasis on the CTE programming will lead to insufficient advanced academic programming at Seneca Valley High School.
- Importance of Seneca Valley High School having a full International Baccalaureate (IB) program.
- Importance of informing parents and students of the full range of CTE and other programming available at Seneca Valley High School in order to remove possible stigma about the career programs.

At the conclusion of the focus group meeting, participants were asked to provide feedback on the meeting. Overall the feedback was positive. Participants stated that it was positive to have the opportunity to hear and share opinions and concerns with other parents. Participants also suggested that it would have been beneficial to spend more time in the groups.

## Next Steps

All feedback and community input gathered throughout the boundary study process over the course of the spring, summer, and early fall, will inform the superintendent's recommendation and ultimately the Board of Education's deliberations. The superintendent is scheduled to release his recommendation by mid-October. The Board of Education will hold worksessions and public hearings during the month of November, with final action on the boundary reassignment scheduled for November 26, 2019.

