

Emotional Disability.

Emotional Disability Multidisciplinary Evaluation Form—Confidential

Office of Special Education
MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS • Rockville, Maryland 20850

MCPS Form 336-67 July 2017 Page 1 of 2

PART I

Directions: Attach this supplement to the ex Disability is suspected.	valuation Individualized E	ducation Program (IE	P) team meeting f	form when ar	1 Emotional
Student Name		Student ID Number		. Date/_	/
A. Previously Identified Educational Disal	bility				
Directions: Check as many as are confirmed.					
☐ Autism☐ Blind/Visually Impaired☐ Deaf/Hard of Hearing☐ Developmental Delay	 Emotional Disability Intellectual Disabilities Multiple Disabilities Orthopedic Impairm 	es	☐ Other Health Im☐ Specific Learning☐ Speech/Languag☐ Traumatic Brain	g Disability ge Impairmen	t
B. Assessed Intellectual and Academic Ab	oility Levels				
Directions: Include dates, names of tests and	standard scores. If no data	a is entered, state the	reason for its absen	ce.	
Cognitive Data:					
Achievement Data:					
Other:					
C. Techniques Employed					
Directions: Provide dates.					
Record Review: Staff	Consultations:	(Dates) Pare	nt/Guardian Intervi	ew(s):	(Dates)
Observation(s):(Dates)	Studer	nt Interview:	(Dates)		
			(Dates)		
Behavior Rating Scales:	(Instruments/Dates)			
Psychodiagnostics:		Instruments/Dates)			
Functional Behavioral Assessment(s):					
Turictional behavioral Assessment(s).		(Dates)			
D. Characteristics					
Directions: As a prerequisite to finding that a stude psychologist must find evidence that an emotional cotime and to a marked degree.					
1. An inability to learn that cannot be explained	d by intellectual, sensory,	or health factors.		Yes □	No □
2. An inability to build or maintain satisfactory	interpersonal relationship	s with peers and teac	hers.	Yes □	No □
3. Inappropriate types of behavior or feelings u	ınder normal circumstanc	es.		Yes □	No □
4. A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or	r depression.			Yes □	No □
5. A tendency to develop physical symptoms o	or fears associated with pe	rsonal or school probl	ems.	Yes □	No □
I certify that I have found evidence that the student I have checked above AND that all affirmative char					
School Psychologist's Signate	ure		Date	-	
If the school psychologist certifies the presence of a impact directly related to the emotional condition.		PIEP team should discus	s whether or not the	re is an adverse	educational?

If the school psychologist **does not certify** the presence of an emotional condition, the case is referred either to the Collaborative Problem Solving (CPS) Team or the Educational Management Team (EMT) for further interventions, or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than

st meet all of the criteria, which are a direct manifestation of the emotional condition, for the IEP team to confirm adverse mact. The behaviors must be long-standing (observed over a long period of time) and occur regularly and often enough a marked degree with the student's own learning process or they may result from an acute emotional condition, such as a ak or post-traumatic stress syndrome. dence that despite having received supportive regular education assistance the student still exhibits behaviors that are directly emotional condition documented by the psychologist's report? Yes No Yes No Ition: Positive Behavioral Intervention plan/contract staff member contacts instructional modifications serious incident reports dence that the student's own learning process is significantly disrupted because of an emotional condition? Yes No tion: classroom participation availability for instruction appropriate social functioning current grades engaged learning curriculum based measures serious incident reports dence that the emotional condition is not primarily the result of physical, sensory, or intellectual disability; lack of appropriate management of behavior; cultural factors; or social maladjustment? Yes No tion: curriculum based measures parent/family reports and questionnaires identifiable peer relationships dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations (COMAR), 0.3(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. It does not meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA	Student Name	Student ID Number	Date	_/	/
dence that despite having received supportive regular education assistance the student still exhibits behaviors that are directly emotional condition documented by the psychologist's report? Yes No No Ves No Ve	A. Adverse Educational impact:				
tion: Positive Behavioral Intervention plan/contract staff member contacts instructional modifications serious incident reports dence that the student's own learning process is significantly disrupted because of an emotional condition? Yes No tion: classroom participation availability for instruction appropriate social functioning current grades engaged learning curriculum based measures serious incident reports dence that the emotional condition is not primarily the result of physical, sensory, or intellectual disability; lack of appropriate management of behavior; cultural factors; or social maladjustment? Yes No tion: curriculum based measures parent/family reports and questionnaires identifiable peer relationships dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations cision: Int meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) (COMAR	educational impact. The behaviors must be long-stand	ling (observed over a long period of time) and occi	ur regularly and	often e	nough
dence that the student's own learning process is significantly disrupted because of an emotional condition? Yes No tion: classroom participation availability for instruction appropriate social functioning current grades engaged learning curriculum based measures serious incident reports					
dence that the student's own learning process is significantly disrupted because of an emotional condition? Yes No tion: classroom participation availability for instruction appropriate social functioning current grades engaged learning curriculum based measures serious incident reports dence that the emotional condition is not primarily the result of physical, sensory, or intellectual disability; lack of appropriate management of behavior; cultural factors; or social maladjustment?		an/contract staff member contacts instructiona	l modifications		
tion: classroom participation availability for instruction appropriate social functioning current grades engaged learning curriculum based measures serious incident reports dence that the emotional condition is not primarily the result of physical, sensory, or intellectual disability; lack of appropriate management of behavior; cultural factors; or social maladjustment? Yes No tion: curriculum based measures parent/family reports and questionnaires identifiable peer relationships dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations dence that the emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) dence that the emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) dence that the emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) dence that the emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) dence that the emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) dence that the emotional Disability found in	□ other:				
dence that the emotional condition is not primarily the result of physical, sensory, or intellectual disability; lack of appropriate management of behavior; cultural factors; or social maladjustment? **Tes No **Ition: curriculum based measures parent/family reports and questionnaires identifiable peer relationships **Ition: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations **Ition: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations **Ition: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations **Ition: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations **Ition: the teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations **Ition: office referrals office referrals observations o	2. Is there evidence that the student's own learning production	cess is significantly disrupted because of an emotiona	al condition?	∕es 🗌	No 🗆
management of behavior; cultural factors; or social maladjustment? tion: curriculum based measures parent/family reports and questionnaires identifiable peer relationships dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations tision: Int meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) .03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. Int does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. Set of IEP Team Members: The team decision reflects my opinion.	☐ degree of engaged learning ☐ curriculum based m	neasures	oning 🗌 currer	nt grad	es
dence that the patterns of behavior occur in more than one setting/class? Yes No tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations The teacher reports office referrals office referrals office referrals report cards observations The teacher reports office referrals office referrals report cards observations report cards observations The teacher reports office referrals office referrals report cards observations The teacher reports observations of report cards observations of teacher report cards observations (COMAR) and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) .03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. The team of teacher referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. The team Members: The team decision reflects my opinion.	instruction or management of behavior; cultural factors;	; or social maladjustment?	١	∕es □	No 🗌
tion: teacher reports office referrals serious incident reports report cards observations tision: Int meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR). 1.03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. 1. It does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. 2. It does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. 2. It does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability.		. , , , , ,	tifiable peer relati	ionship	
ision: Int meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR).03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. Int does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. Per of IEP Team Members: The team decision reflects my opinion.		in more than one setting/class?	١	∕es □	
In the meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 0.03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability.	4. Is there evidence that the patterns of behavior occur is				No 🗀
nt meets criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in <i>Code of Maryland Regulations</i> (COMAR) .03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the does not meet criteria for Emotional Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COMAR 13A.05.01.03. The student referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. The student referred to the CPS Team Members: The team decision reflects my opinion.	·	_	observations		No 🗆
.03(23). The IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the student needs special education and related services. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. In the IEP team should now determine whether or not the IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability now determine the IEP team for consideration of a disability of the IEP team for consideration of a disability of the IEP team for consideration of a disability of the IEP team for consideration of a disability of the IEP team for consideration of a disability of the IEP team for consideration of a disability of the IEP team for considerat	Documentation: □ teacher reports □ office referen	rals □ serious incident reports □ report cards □	observations		No
referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration of a disability other than Disability. es of IEP Team Members: The team decision reflects my opinion.	Documentation: □ teacher reports □ office referen	rals □ serious incident reports □ report cards □	observations		No U
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ B. Team Decision: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa	rals serious incident reports report cards ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of M	1aryland Regulati		OMAR)
Nome Title Ver No	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23).	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The s	DMAR)
name fille fes no	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ Team Decision: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability.	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational interventions or to an IEP team for considerations.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The s	DMAR)
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ Team Decision: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability.	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational interventions or to an IEP team for considerations.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The s	DMAR)
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	OMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	OMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
Name file fes	Documentation: □ teacher reports □ office refere	rals □ serious incident reports □ report cards □	observations		
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference other: ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the co	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: □ teacher reports □ office reference other: □ other: □ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determound be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for additional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	OMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference of the composition: B. Team Decision: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ. ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decisions.	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than
	Documentation: ☐ teacher reports ☐ office reference ☐ other: ☐ The student meets criteria for Emotional Disa 13A.05.01.03(23). The IEP team should now determ ☐ The student does not meet criteria for Emotion should be referred to the CPS Team or EMT for add Emotional Disability. C. Signatures of IEP Team Members: The team decomposition of the composition of the compositi	ability found in the IDEA 2004 and in Code of Maine whether or not the student needs special educational Disability found in the IDEA 2004 and in COM ditional interventions or to an IEP team for consideration reflects my opinion.	Maryland Regulation and related s	ervices. 3. The sity oth	DMAR) tudent er than